ORDER SHEET West Bengal Administrative Tribunal

Present.The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag &
The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das

Case No. OA - 247 of 2016

Bik	cash Ganguly Versus The State of W	Vest Bengal & Ors.
Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary.
1	2	3
12 09/10/2018	For the Applicant : Mr. A.K. Das Sinha, Ld. Advocate.	
	For the State Respondent: Mr. S.K. Mondal, Ld. Advocate.	
	The applicant has prayed for direction upon the	
	respondents to pay the applicant full salary of a	
	constable by absorption of the applicant in the post of	
	constable of Armed Police of West Bengal in terms of	
	Regulation 782 and Regulation 746 of Police	
	Regulation of Bengal, 1943.	
	The applicant was appointed as Boy Bugler in	
	the year 1984 on the basis of compassionate	
	appointment due to death of the father of the	
	applicant while he was in service as Constable of	
	Police. The contention of the applicant is that he was	
	appointed as Boy Bugler as his age was about 15	
	years at the time of his compassionate appointment	
	due to pre-mature death of his father. The further	
	contention of the applicant is that he should have	
	been absorbed as constable on full salary on	
	attaining age of 18 years in terms of Regulation 782	
	and Regulation 746 of Police Regulation of Bengal. It	
	is also contended on behalf of the applicant that the	

_	
Lorm	NIA
Form	INO.

date of order

1

Bikash	Ganguly	1

Vs

Office action with date

and dated signature of parties when necessary.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. **OA** – **247 of 2016**Serial No. and

2
applicant is still working in the post of Boy Bugler
and drawing salary of Rs. 5,321/- per month. The
grievance of the applicant is that the applicant was
not absorbed as constable on full salary in terms of
letter dated November 24, 2008 issued by the
Assistant Secretary to the Government of West
Bengal, Department of Home (Police).

Order of the Tribunal

with signature

2

Mr. Sinha, Learned Counsel representing the applicant contends that the letter dated November 24, 2008 has been issued by the Home (Police) Department, Government of West Bengal in an arbitrary manner without taking into consideration the provisions of Regulation 746 and Regulation 782 of Police Regulation of Bengal. He further submits that the applicant should have been absorbed as Bugler Constable/Constable of West Bengal Police on full salary in terms of the provisions of Police Regulation of Bengal, 1943.

Mr. Mondal, Learned Counsel representing the state respondents has relied on the reply and submitted that the applicant did not fulfil minimum educational qualification, physical measurement and age for recruitment to the post of Constable of Police and thereby the applicant was not absorbed as

_	
Form	No.

Bikash	Ganguly	

Vs

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. **OA** - **247** of **2016**

Case 110. O21	<u>2+1 0j 2010</u>	
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal	Office action with date
date of order	with signature	and dated signature of
		parties when necessary.
1	2	3

Constable of Police.

Having heard Learned Counsel representing both parties and on consideration of the provisions of Police Regulation of Bengal in general and provisions of Regulation 746 and Regulation 782 of Police Regulation of Bengal, we find that the applicant cannot be allowed to continue in the post of Boy Bugler after attaining age of 18 years. The object of recruitment to the post of Boy Bugler is for undergoing training as Bugler till attaining the age of 18 years. The person who is recruited as Boy Bugler for undergoing training as Bugler need to be paid full salary of Constable of Police on attaining 18 years of age. The eligibility criteria of recruitment to the post of Constable of Police laid down in Regulation 746 and Regulation 782 of Police Regulation of Bengal do not indicate that for recruitment to the post of Constable of Police/Bugler Constable the candidate will have to pass the Class VIII Examination. Nor have the state respondents placed any provisions of Rules or Regulations or G.O. to establish that the minimum educational qualification for recruitment to the post of Constable/Bugler Constable is passing of Class VIII Examination. If there is any deficiency or shortfall in the eligibility criteria for appointment of the applicant

F	orm	N	o.

Serial No. and

date of order

Bikash	Ganguly

Vs

Office action with date

and dated signature of parties when necessary.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. **OA** - **247** of **2016**

2	
in the post of Constable of Police/Bugler Constable	
the Government has the power to relax the Rules or	
Regulation to overcome the deficiency or shortfall	
standing on the way of appointment of the applicant	
as constable of Police/Bugler Constable. In our view,	
this is a fit case where the Government should	
exercise the power for relaxation of Rules/Regulation	
in connection with minimum educational qualification,	
physical measurement, and maximum age for	
appointment of the applicant in the post of	
Constable/Bugler Constable, particularly when the	
applicant was appointed on compassionate ground	
due to death of his father while he was in service of	
Constable of Police.	

Order of the Tribunal

with signature

In view of our above findings, we hold that the letter dated November 24, 2008 issued by the Assistant Secretary to the Government of West Bengal, Department of Home (Police) (Annexure 'B' to the original application) is not justified under the law and the same is hereby quashed. The respondent no. 4, Superintendent of Police, Murshidabad is directed to consider the appointment of the applicant in the post of Constable of Police/Bugler Constable (if such post exists) in terms of Regulation 782 and Regulation 746 of Police Regulation of Bengal and in

Form No.	B	ikash Ganguly
		Vs
	•••••	Vest Bengal & Others.
Case No. <u>OA –</u> Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature of
1	2	parties when necessary.
	accordance with law and by obtaining approval of the competent authority, if necessary, within a period	
	of 16 (sixteen) weeks from the date of communication	
	of the order and to take necessary follow up action	
	within a period of 4 (four) weeks thereafter. With the above direction the original application stands	
	disposed of.	
	Let a Plain Copy of the order be supplied to both parties.	
	S. K. DAS R. K. BAG MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)	

Csm

Form No.		Bikash Ganguly
		Vs
		The State of West Bengal & Others.
Case No. OA – 2	247 of 2016	
Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary.
1	2	gardes when necessary.

Form No.	••••••	Bikash Ganguly
		Vs
Case No. OA	•••••	of West Bengal & Others.
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal	Office action with date
date of order	with signature	and dated signature of parties when necessary.
1	2	garties when necessary.